

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,813	03/30/2004	Doo Sun Choi	B-5402 621789-1	5292	
7	590 12/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
Richard P. Berg, Esq. c/o LADAS & PARRY			DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
Suite 2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5670 Wilshire Boulevard			2834		
Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679				_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•			1/2		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/2		
		10/814,813	CHOI ET AL.	•		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thomas M. Dougherty	2834			
Pariod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aporter or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress		
A SH WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL 136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	<u> March 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the r	merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	•	·		
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object to drawing(s) be held in abeyance action is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFF	` .		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Apportity documents have been received in Apportity documents have been received.	plication No eceived in this National S	tage		
Attachmen	• •					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 904.		Mail Date  comal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/814,813

Art Unit: 2834

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The description of the structure and of the operations of the structure are not clearly defined such that the operation of the structure is definite. In claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the enlarging means". It is not clear from claim 1 that the piezoelectric sensor has a contact portion and thus when the contact switch is switched, only one defined electrode, that of the enlarging means, is presented, and just what this electrode contacts is not noted, nor is it explicitly stated that the shrinking of the piezoelectric sensor is necessary for the contacting to occur. Elements of the claim are not effectively tied together. In claim 2, it is noted that the enlarging means has a proximal end, however the general shape of the enlarging means is not understood so a routineer in the art may not understand this description. Claims 3 and 4 are a bit confusing in description. One may read the claim and conclude that the actuator is connected to the piezoelectric sensor at a location of the first electrode.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,813 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to show a structure or method for a structure in which an actuator supplies a voltage to piezoelectric element to which it is also elastically attached, wherein the voltage application causes the piezoelectric element to shrink, thereby pulling an enlarging member with an attached electrode to contact an electrode on the piezoelectric member, further including elastic connections between the piezoelectric element and the enlarging member and the actuator and the enlarging member.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited reads on at least some aspects of the claimed invention.

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

tmd

November 23, 2005

TOM DOUGHERTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER